```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                             PECOS DIVISION
 3
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       ) Case No. 4:19-CR-774
 4
        Plaintiff.
                                        ) COA No. 21-50607
 5
                                        ) Pecos, Texas
       vs.
 6
   THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,
 7
                                          January 21, 2021
        Defendant.
 8
                                          8:58 a.m.
 9
                   TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - VOL. 3
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID COUNTS
10
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
   APPEARANCES:
13
   FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
        MR. AUSTIN M. BERRY, TRIAL ATTORNEY
14
        Department of Justice, Child Exploitation
        601 N. Loraine, Suite 398
15
        Midland, Texas 79701
16
        MS. MONICA R. MORRISON, AUSA
17
        Office of the United States Attorney
        Pecos/Alpine Division
18
        2500 North Highway 18, Suite A200
        Alpine, Texas 79830
19
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
20
        MR. LANE ANDREW HAYGOOD
        Haygood Law Firm
21
        522 North Grant
        Odessa, Texas 79761
22
        MR. MARK BENNETT
23
        Bennett & Bennett
24
        917 Franklin Street, Fourth Floor
        Houston, Texas 77002
25
```

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
                   (CONTINUED)
 2
   COURT REPORTER:
 3
         MS. ANN M. RECORD, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI
 4
         P.O. Box 2357
         Midland, Texas 79702
 5
 6
          Proceedings reported by machine shorthand reporter.
 7
         Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Ann M. Record, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI

1	INDEX	
2		DAGE
3		PAGE
5	Proceedings	4
6	Court's Instructions to the Jury	4
7	Closing Argument by Mr. Berry	39
8	Closing Argument by Mr. Bennett	54
9	Closing Argument by Mr. Berry	66
10	Jury Deliberates	70
11	Verdict	71
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Ann M. Record, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Mr. Berry, outside the presence of the
   jury, before we bring them in, do you need to bring up
 3
   anything, sir?
 4
 5
             MR. BERRY:
                         No.
             THE COURT: Mr. Bennett?
 6
 7
             MR. BENNETT: No, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT:
                         All right. We're clear? Everybody is
 9
   good and everything?
10
             MR. BERRY:
                         Yes.
             MR. BENNETT: Yes.
11
12
             THE COURT: Looks good.
             Okay. Let's bring them in.
13
             (Jury enters at 8:58 a.m.)
14
15
             THE COURT: Good morning. We have the charge.
                                                              It is
  a little warm in here. We've asked them to bring it down.
16
   not sure how it got so warm so quickly.
17
18
             As I read, you're welcome to read along with me or
  just listen along, whichever you'd like to do.
19
20
             Members of the Jury:
             In any jury trial there are, in effect, two judges.
21
  I am one of the judges; the other is the jury. It is my duty
22
   to preside over the trial and to decide what evidence is proper
23
  for your consideration. It is also my duty at the end of the
   trial to explain to you the rules of law that you must follow
25
```

and apply in arriving at your verdict.

First, I will give you some general instructions which apply in every case, for example, instructions about burden of proof and how to judge the believability of witnesses. Then I will give you some rules of law about this particular case, and finally I will explain to you the procedures you should follow in your deliberations.

You, as jurors, are the judges of the facts. But in determining what actually happened -- that is, in reaching your decision as to the facts, it is your sworn duty to follow all of the rules of law as I explain them to you.

You have no right to disregard or give special attention to any one instruction, or to question the wisdom or correctness of any rule I may state to you. You must not substitute or follow your own notion or opinion as to what the law is or ought to be. It is your duty to apply the law as I explain it to you regardless of the consequences.

It is also your duty to base your verdict solely upon the evidence, without prejudice or sympathy. You are to decide this case only on the evidence which has been admitted into court during trial. That was the promise you made and the oath you took before being accepted by the parties as jurors, and they have the right to expect nothing less.

The indictment or formal charge against the defendant is not evidence of guilt. Indeed, the defendant is presumed by

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

the law to be innocent. The defendant begins with a clean slate. The law does not require the defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all and no inference whatever may be drawn from the election of the defendant not to testify.

The government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if it fails to do so, you must acquit the defendant. While the government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary that the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the defendant's guilt.

A "reasonable doubt" is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in making the most important decisions of your own affairs.

As I told you earlier, it is your duty to determine the facts. To do so, you must consider only the evidence presented during the trial. Evidence is the sworn testimony of the witnesses, including stipulations, and the exhibits. The questions, statements, objections, and arguments made by the lawyers are not evidence.

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

The function of the lawyers is to point out those things that are most significant or most helpful to their side of the case, and in so doing to call your attention to certain facts or inferences that might otherwise escape your notice.

In the final analysis, however, it is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls in the case. What the lawyers say is not binding upon you.

During the trial I sustained objections to certain questions. You must disregard those questions entirely. Do not speculate as to what the witness would have said if permitted to answer the question. Also, certain testimony or other evidence has been ordered removed from the record and you have been instructed to disregard this evidence. Do not consider any testimony or other evidence which has been removed from your consideration in reaching your decision. Your verdict must be based solely on the legally admissible evidence and testimony.

Also, do not assume from anything I may have done or said during the trial that I have any opinion concerning any of the issues in this case. Except for the instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own verdict.

In considering the evidence, you are permitted to draw such reasonable inferences from the testimony and exhibits as you feel are justified in the light of common experience.

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

In other words, you may make deductions and reach conclusions that reason and common sense lead you to draw from the facts which have been established by the evidence.

Do not be concerned about whether the evidence is "direct evidence" or "circumstantial evidence." You should consider and weigh all of the evidence that was presented to you.

"Direct evidence" is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eyewitness.

"Circumstantial evidence" is proof of a chain of events and circumstances indicating that something is or is not a fact.

The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. But the law requires that you, after weighing all the evidence, whether direct or circumstantial, be convinced of the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt before you can find him guilty.

You have heard evidence of acts of the defendant which may be similar to those charged in the indictment, but which were committed on other occasions. You must not consider any of this evidence in deciding if the defendant committed the acts charged in the indictment. However, you may consider this evidence for other, very limited, purposes.

If you find beyond a reasonable doubt from the other evidence in this case that the defendant did commit the acts

```
charged in the indictment, then you may consider evidence of
 1
 2
   the similar acts allegedly committed on other occasions to
   determine:
 3
             Whether the defendant had a state of or intent
 4
 5
   necessary to commit the crime charged in the indictment;
 6
                                  or
             Whether the defendant had a motive or the opportunity
 7
   to commit the acts charged in the indictment;
 8
 9
                                  or
             Whether the defendant acted according to a plan or in
10
   preparation for commission of a crime;
11
12
                                  or
13
             Whether the defendant committed the acts for which he
   is on trial by accident or mistake.
14
15
             These are limited purposes for which any evidence of
   other similar acts may be considered.
16
17
             Government's Exhibit 26A through R contain a
   transcript of the oral conversation which can be heard on the
19
   recording.
               The transcript also purports to identify the
20
   speakers engaged in such conversation.
              I have admitted the transcript for the limited and
21
   secondary purpose of aiding you in following the content of the
22
   conversation as you listen to the recording, and also to aid
23
   you in identifying the speakers.
24
             You are specifically instructed that whether the
25
```

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

transcript correctly or incorrectly reflects the content of the conversation or the identity of the speakers is entirely for you to determine based upon your own evaluation of the testimony you have heard concerning the preparation of the transcript, and from your own examination of the transcript in relation to your hearing of the recording itself as the primary evidence of its own contents; and, if you should determine that the transcript is in any respect incorrect or unreliable, you should disregard it to that extent. It is what you hear on the recording that is evidence, not the transcripts.

I remind you that it is your job to decide whether the government has proved the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. In doing so, you must consider all of the evidence. This does not mean, however, that you must accept all of the evidence as true or accurate.

You are the sole judges of the credibility or "believability" of each witness and the weight to be given each witness' testimony. An important part of your job will be making judgments about the testimony of the witnesses who testified in this case. You should decide whether you believe all, some part, or none of what each person had to say and how important that testimony was. In making that decision, I suggest that you ask yourself some questions: Did the witness impress you as honest? Did the witness have any particular reason not to tell the truth? Did the witness have a personal

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

interest in the outcome of the case? Did the witness have any relationship with either the government or the defense? Did the witness seem to have a good memory? Did the witness clearly see or hear the things about which he or she testified? Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly? Did the witness' testimony differ from the testimony of other witnesses? These are a few of the considerations that will help you determine the accuracy of what each witness said.

Your job is to think about the testimony of each witness you have heard and decide how much you believe of what each witness had to say. In making up your mind and reaching a verdict, do not make any decisions simply because there are more witnesses on one side than on the other. Do not reach a conclusion on a particular point just because there were more witnesses testifying for one side on that point. You will always bear in mind that the law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden or duty of calling any witnesses or producing any evidence.

During the trial you heard the testimony of Brian
Nishida, who expressed opinions concerning computer forensics
examination. If scientific, technical, or other specialized
knowledge might assist the jury in understanding the evidence
or in determining a fact in issue, a witness qualified by
knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may

testify and state an opinion concerning such matters.

Merely because such a witness has expressed an opinion does not mean, however, that you must accept this opinion. You should judge such testimony like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness' education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, and all other evidence in the case.

The testimony of an alleged accomplice, and/or the testimony of one who provides evidence against a defendant as an informer for pay, for immunity from punishment, or for personal advantage or vindication, must always be examined and weighed by the jury with greater care and caution than the testimony of ordinary witnesses. You, the jury, must decide whether the witness' testimony has been affected by the circumstances, by the witnesses' interest in the outcome of the case, by prejudice against the defendant, or by the benefits that the witness has received, either financially or as a result of being immunized from prosecution. You should keep in mind that such testimony is always to be received with caution and weighed with great care.

You should never convict any defendant upon the unsupported testimony of such a witness unless you believe that testimony beyond a reasonable doubt.

You are here to decide whether the government has

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

```
proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crimes charged. The defendant is not on trial for any act, conduct, or offense not alleged in the indictment.

Neither are you called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt of any other person or persons not on trial as a defendant in this case, except as you are otherwise instructed.
```

If the defendant is found guilty, it will be my duty to decide what the punishment will be. You should not be concerned with punishment in any way. It should not enter into your consideration or discussion.

You will note that the indictment charges that the offenses were committed on or about specified dates. The government does not have to prove that the crimes were committed on those exact dates, so long as the government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed the crimes on dates reasonably near January 1, 1996, continuing until on or about November 7, 2019; October 24, 2019; and November 7, 2019, the dates stated in the indictment.

A separate crime is charged in each count of the indictment. Each count, and the evidence pertaining to it, should be considered separately. The fact that you may find the defendant guilty or not guilty as to one of the crimes charged should not control your verdict as to any other.

The indictment contains multiple counts, which read as follows:

# 1 COUNT ONE 2 That on or about October 24, 2019, in the Western District of Texas, the Defendant, 3 THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR, 4 did knowingly produce, distribute, receive, and possess with 5 the intent to distribute, a visual depiction of any kind, 6 including a drawing that depicts a minor engaging in 7 sexually explicit conduct and is obscene, to wit: a drawing 8 9 of a prepubescent female engaged in the lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area and this visual depiction had been mailed, or shipped or transported in 11 12 interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by 13 computer, or was produced using materials that have been mailed, or that have been shipped or transported in 14 interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by 15 16 computer. 17 A violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1466A(a)(1), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States 328 U.S. 640 (1946). 19 20 COUNT TWO That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western 21 District of Texas, the Defendant, 22 23 THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR, aided and abetted by others, knowingly used an interactive computer service for carriage in interstate and foreign 25

```
commerce, an obscene matter, to wit: obscene story 1.
 1
 2
              A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
 3
   Section 1462(a), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
   328 U.S. 640 (1946).
 4
 5
                              COUNT THREE
              That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western
 6
 7
   District of Texas, the Defendant,
 8
                          THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,
 9
   aided and abetted by others, knowingly used an interactive
   computer service for carriage in interstate and foreign
10
   commerce, an obscene matter, to wit: obscene story 2.
11
12
             A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
   Section 1462(a), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
13
  328 U.S. 640 (1946).
14
15
                               COUNT FOUR
              That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western
16
  District of Texas, the Defendant,
17
18
                          THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,
  aided and abetted by others, knowingly used an interactive
19
20
   computer service for carriage in interstate and foreign
21
   commerce, an obscene matter, to wit: obscene story 3.
              A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
22
23
   Section 1462(a), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
   328 U.S. 640 (1946).
24
25
                               COUNT FIVE
```

```
1
             That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western
 2
   District of Texas, the Defendant,
 3
                          THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,
 4
   aided and abetted by others, knowingly used an interactive
   computer service for carriage in interstate and foreign
 5
   commerce, an obscene matter, to wit: obscene story 4.
 6
 7
             A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
   Section 1462(a), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
 8
   328 U.S. 640 (1946).
 9
10
                               COUNT SIX
             That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western
11
12
   District of Texas, the Defendant,
13
                          THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,
  aided and abetted by others, knowingly used an interactive
14
   computer service for carriage in interstate and foreign
15
   commerce, an obscene matter, to wit: obscene story 5.
16
17
             A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
   Section 1462(a), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
   328 U.S. 640 (1946).
19
20
                              COUNT SEVEN
             That on or about January 1, 1996, to on or about
21
  November 7, 2019, in the Western District of Texas, and
22
23
   elsewhere, the Defendant,
24
                          THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,
   aided and abetted by others, was engaged in the business of
25
```

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

selling and transferring obscene matter, and knowingly received and possessed with the intent to distribute obscene stories and drawings of minors engaging in sexually explicit conduct, which was shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce.

A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
Section 1466, Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
328 U.S. 640 (1946).

#### COUNT EIGHT

That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western District of Texas, the Defendant,

#### THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,

did knowingly produce, distribute, receive, and possess with the intent to distribute, a visual depiction of any kind, including a drawing that depicts a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct and is obscene, to wit: a drawing of a prepubescent female performing fellatio on an adult male and this visual depiction had been mailed, or shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer, or was produced using materials that have been mailed, or that have been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer.

A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
Section 1466A(a)(1), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,

```
328 U.S. 640 (1946).
```

## COUNT NINE

That on or about November 7, 2019, in the Western District of Texas, the Defendant,

#### THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR,

did knowingly produce, distribute, receive, and possess with the intent to distribute, a visual depiction of any kind, including a drawing that depicts a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct and is obscene, to wit: a drawing of prepubescent females performing fellatio on adult penises and this visual depiction had been mailed, or shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer, or was produced using materials that have been mailed, or that have been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer.

A violation of Title 18, United States Code,
Section 1466A(a)(1), Section 2, and Pinkerton v. United States,
328 U.S. 640 (1946).

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1466A, makes it a crime for anyone to produce, distribute, receive, or possess with intent to distribute, a visual depiction of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct.

For you to find the defendant guilty of <u>Count One</u>, you must be convinced that the government has proved each of

the following beyond a reasonable doubt: 1 2 First: That the defendant knowingly produced, distributed, received, or possessed with intent to distribute, 3 a visual depiction; 4 That the visual depiction is of a minor Second: 5 engaging in sexually explicit conduct; 6 That the visual depiction is obscene; and 7 Third: That any visual depiction involved in the 8 Fourth: 9 offense had been mailed, or shipped, or transported in interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by 10 computer, or was produced using materials that were mailed or 11 12 shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce, 13 including by computer. The parties have stipulated that Defendant Thomas 14 Alan Arthur knowingly distributed, received, and possessed with 15 intent to distribute the visual depiction charged in Count One 16 and that the visual depiction charged in Count One had been 17 18 shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including by computer, and was produced using 19 materials that had been mailed, and had been shipped and 20 transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, 21 including by computer. 22 "Visual depiction" includes undeveloped film and 23

"Visual depiction" includes undeveloped film and videotape, and data stored on a computer disk or by electronic means which is capable of conversion into a visual image, and

25

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

```
also includes any photograph, film, video, picture, digital image or picture, computer image or picture, or computer generated image or picture, whether made or produced by electronic, mechanical, or other means.
```

The term "computer" means an electronic, magnetic, optical, electrochemical, or other high speed data processing device performing logical, arithmetic, or storage functions, and includes any data storage facility or communication facility directly related to or operating in conjunction with such device, but such term does not include an automated typewriter or typesetter, a portable handheld calculator, or other similar device.

The term "minor" means any person under the age of eighteen years.

Interstate commerce means commerce or travel between one state, territory or possession of the United States and another state, territory or possession of the United States, including the District of Columbia.

Foreign commerce means commerce or travel between any part of the United States, including its territorial waters, and any other country, including its territorial waters.

Commerce includes travel, trade, transportation, and communication.

"Sexually explicit conduct" means actual or simulated sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

anal-genital, or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex; bestiality, masturbation, sadistic or masochistic abuse; or lascivious exhibition of the genitals or pubic area of any person.

Be cautioned that not every exposure of the genitals or pubic area constitutes lascivious exhibition. Whether a visual depiction constitutes a lascivious exhibition requires a consideration of the overall content of the material. You may consider such factors as: (1) whether the focal point of the visual depiction is on the child's genitalia or pubic area; (2) whether the setting of the depiction is sexually suggestive, that is, in a place or pose associated with sexual activity; (3) whether the child is depicted in an unnatural pose or in inappropriate attire, considering the age of the child; (4) whether the child is fully or partially nude; (5) whether the visual depiction suggests sexual coyness or a willingness to engage in sexual activity; and (6) whether the depiction is designed to elicit a sexual response in the viewer. This list is not exhaustive and no single factor is dispositive.

Freedom of expression has contributed much to the development and well-being of our free society. In the exercise of the fundamental constitutional right to free expression which all of us enjoy, sex may be portrayed, and the subject of sex may be discussed, freely and publicly. Material is not to be condemned merely because it contains passages or

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

sequences that are descriptive of sexual activity. However, the constitutional right to free expression does not extend to that which is obscene.

To prove a matter is "obscene," the government must satisfy three tests: (1) that the work appeals predominantly to prurient interest; (2) that it depicts or describes sexual conduct in a patently offensive way; and (3) that the material, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value.

An appeal to "prurient" interest is an appeal to a morbid, degrading, and unhealthy interest in sex, as distinguished from an ordinary interest in sex.

The first test, therefore, is whether the predominant theme or purpose of the material, when viewed as a whole and not part by part, and when considered in relation to the intended and probable recipients, is an appeal to the prurient interest of an average person in the community as a whole or to the prurient interest of members of a deviant sexual group. In making this decision, you must examine the main or principal focus of the material, when assessed in its entirety and based on its total effect, not on incidental themes or isolated passages or sequences.

The second test is whether the material depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct such as ultimate sexual acts, normal or perverted, actual or simulated;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

masturbation; excretory functions; or lewd exhibition of the genitals.

These first two tests which I have described are to be decided by you, applying contemporary community standards. This means that you should make the decision in the light of contemporary standards that would be applied by the average person in this community, with an average and normal attitude toward an interest in sex. Contemporary community standards are those accepted in this community as a whole. You must decide whether the material would appeal predominantly to prurient interests and would depict or describe sexual conduct in a patently offensive way when viewed by an average person in this community as a whole, that is, by the community at large or in general. Matter is patently offensive by contemporary community standards if it so exceeds the generally accepted limits of candor in the entire community as to be clearly offensive. You must not judge the material by your own personal standards, if you believe them to be stricter than those generally held, nor should you determine what some groups of people may believe the community ought to accept or refuse to accept. Rather, you must determine the attitude of the community as a whole.

However, the prurient-appeal requirement may also be assessed in terms of the sexual interest of a clearly defined deviant sexual group if the material in question was intended

to appeal to the prurient interest of that group, as distinguished from the community in general.

tests of the obscenity definition, your final decision is whether the material, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value. Unlike the first two tests, this third test is not to be decided on contemporary community standards but rather on the basis of whether a reasonable person, considering the material as a whole, would find that the material lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value. An item may have serious value in one or more of these areas even if it portrays sexually oriented conduct. It is for you to say whether the material in this case has such value.

All three of these tests must be met before the material in question can be found to be obscene. If any one of them is not met, the material would not be obscene within the meaning of the law.

## COUNTS TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, AND SIX:

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1462, makes it a crime for anyone to use a common carrier to transmit obscene material in interstate or foreign commerce.

For you to find the defendant guilty of <u>Count Two</u>, you must be convinced that the government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

```
1
                      That the defendant knowingly used an
              First:
 2
   interactive computer service to transport Story 1 in interstate
 3
   or foreign commerce, as charged;
                       That the defendant knew, at the time of such
              Second:
 4
   transportation, the sexually oriented content of the material;
 5
   and
 6
                      That the material was obscene.
 7
              Third:
             For to you find the defendant quilty of Count Three,
 8
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
 9
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
10
11
              First:
                      That the defendant knowingly used an
12
   interactive computer service to transport Story 2 in interstate
   or foreign commerce, as charged;
13
                       That the defendant knew, at the time of such
14
             Second:
   transportation, the sexually oriented content of the material;
15
16
   and
17
                      That the material was obscene.
              Third:
18
             For you to find the defendant guilty of Count Four,
  you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
19
20
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
                      That the defendant knowingly used an
21
             First:
   interactive computer service to transport Story 3 in interstate
22
   or foreign commerce, as charged;
23
                       That the defendant knew, at the time of such
24
              Second:
   transportation, the sexually oriented content of the material;
25
```

```
and
 1
 2
              Third:
                      That the material was obscene.
 3
             For you to find the defendant guilty of Count Five,
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
 4
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
 5
             First:
                      That the defendant knowingly used an
 6
 7
   interactive computer service to transport Story 4 in interstate
   or foreign commerce, as charged;
 8
                       That the defendant knew, at the time of such
 9
             Second:
   transportation, the sexually oriented content of the material;
10
11
   and
12
              Third:
                      That the material was obscene.
13
             For to you find the defendant guilty of Count Six,
  you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
15
                      That the defendant knowingly used an
16
   interactive computer service to transport Story 5 in interstate
17
   or foreign commerce, as charged;
                       That the defendant knew, at the time of such
19
              Second:
   transportation, the sexually oriented content of the material;
21
   and
              Third:
                      That the material was obscene.
22
             The parties have stipulated that Defendant Thomas
23
  Alan Arthur knowingly used an interactive computer service to
   transport Story 1, Story 2, Story 3, Story 4, and Story 5, as
25
```

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

charged in Counts Two through Six, in interstate and foreign commerce and that he knew, at the time of such transportation, the sexually oriented content of the material.

"Interactive computer service" means any information service, system, or access to software provider that provides or enables computer access by multiple users to a computer service, including specifically a service or system that provides access to the Internet and such systems operated or services offered by libraries or educational institutions.

One of the specific facts the government must prove is that the defendant knew of the sexually oriented contents of the material that was transported in interstate commerce. The government is not obligated to prove that the defendant knew that such material was legally obscene, only that the content was sexually oriented.

The definitions of "foreign commerce," "interstate commerce," "commerce," and "obscene" provided for Count One apply to Counts Two, Three, Four, Five, and Six.

#### **COUNT SEVEN:**

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1466, makes it a crime for anyone to engage in the business of selling or transferring Obscene material.

For you to find the defendant guilty of <u>Count Seven</u>, you must be convinced that the government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

First: That the defendant was engaged in the
business of selling, transferring, receiving, or possessing
stories or drawings;

Second: That the defendant knowingly sold or transferred stories or drawings, or knowingly received or possessed stories or drawings with the intent to distribute them;

Third: That the stories or drawings are obscene; and Fourth: That the stories or drawings have been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce.

The parties have stipulated that Defendant Thomas Alan Arthur, from June 21, 1998, to November 7, 2019, engaged in the business of producing, selling, and transferring the stories and drawings on the Mr. Double Web site; that he knowingly sold, transferred, and produced the stories and drawings on the Mr. Double Web site with intent to distribute and sell; and that the stories and drawings on the Mr. Double Web site have been shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce.

To "distribute" something means to deliver or transfer possession of it to someone else, with or without any financial interest in the transaction. Placing the material in a shared folder accessible to other users constitutes distribution, even in the absence of proof that anyone else accessed the files.

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

To "engage in the business" means that the person who produces, sells or transfers or offers to sell or transfer obscene matter devotes time, attention, or labor to such activities, as a regular course of trade or business, with the objective of earning a profit, although it is not necessary that the person make a profit or that the production, selling or transferring or offering to sell or transfer such material be the person's sole or principal business or source of income.

The definition of "foreign commerce," "interstate

The definition of "foreign commerce," "interstate commerce," and "commerce" and "obscene" provided for Count One apply to Count Seven.

#### COUNTS EIGHT AND NINE:

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1466A, makes it a crime for anyone to produce, distribute, receive, or possess with intent to distribute, a visual depiction of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct.

For you to find the defendant guilty of <u>Count Eight</u>, you must be convinced that the government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That the defendant knowingly produced,
distributed, received, or possessed with intent to distribute,
a visual depiction;

Second: That the visual depiction is of a minor engaging in sexually explicit conduct;

Third: That the visual depiction is obscene; and

```
1
                       That any visual depiction involved in the
 2
   offense had been mailed, or shipped, or transported in
   interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by
 3
   computer, or was produced using materials that were mailed or
 4
   shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce,
 5
   including by computer.
 6
             For you to find the defendant quilty of Count Nine,
 7
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
 8
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
 9
10
                     That the defendant knowingly produced,
             First:
11
   distributed, received, or possessed with intent to distribute,
12
   a visual depiction;
13
              Second:
                      That the visual depiction is of a minor
   engaging in sexually explicit conduct;
14
                     That the visual depiction is obscene; and
15
              Third:
              Fourth: That any visual depiction involved in the
16
   offense had been mailed, or shipped, or transported in
17
18
   interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by
   computer, or was produced using materials that were mailed or
19
20
   shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce,
   including by computer.
21
22
             The parties have stipulated that Defendant Thomas
   Alan Arthur knowingly distributed, received, and possessed with
23
   intent to distribute the visual depictions charged in
24
   Counts Eight and Nine; that he knew, at the time of such
25
```

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

production, distribution, receipt, and possession with intent to distribute, the sexually oriented nature of the visual depictions charged in Counts Eight and Nine; and that he knew that the visual depictions charged in Counts Eight and Nine had been shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including by computer, and were produced using materials that had been mailed, and had been shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including by computer.

The definitions of "interstate commerce," "foreign commerce," "commerce," "sexually explicit conduct," "computer," "minor," "visual depiction," and "obscene" provided for Count One apply to Counts Eight and Nine.

The guilt of a defendant in a criminal case may be established without proof that the defendant personally did every act constituting the offense alleged. The law recognizes that, ordinarily, anything a person can do for himself may also be accomplished by him through the direction of another person as his or her agent, or by acting in concert with, or under the direction of another person or persons in a joint effort or enterprise.

If another person is acting under the direction of the defendant or if the defendant joins another person and performs acts with the intent to commit a crime, then the law holds the defendant responsible for the acts and conduct of

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

such other persons just as though the defendant had committed the acts or engaged in such conduct.

Before the defendant may be held criminally responsible for the acts of others, it is necessary that the accused deliberately associate himself in some way with the crime and participate in it with the intent to bring about the crime.

Mere presence at the scene of a crime and knowledge that a crime is being committed are not sufficient to establish that a defendant either directed or aided and abetted the crime unless you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant was a participant and not merely a knowing spectator.

In other words, you may not find the defendant guilty unless you find beyond a reasonable doubt that every element of the offense as defined in these instructions was committed by some person or persons, and that the defendant voluntarily participated in its commission with the intent to violate the law.

For you to find the defendant guilty of <u>Count Two</u>, you must be convinced that the government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That the offense of interstate transportation
of obscene material was committed by some person;

Second: That the defendant associated with the criminal venture;

```
1
                      That the defendant purposefully participated
 2
   in the criminal venture; and
                      That the defendant sought by action to make
 3
   that venture successful.
 4
             For you to find the defendant quilty of Count Three,
 5
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
 6
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
 7
                      That the offense of interstate transportation
 8
             First:
   of obscene material was committed by some person;
 9
10
              Second:
                       That the defendant associated with the
   criminal venture;
11
12
                     That the defendant purposefully participated
              Third:
   in the criminal venture; and
13
14
                       That the defendant sought by action to make
             Fourth:
   that venture successful.
15
             For you to find the defendant quilty of Count Four,
16
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
17
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
19
             First:
                      That the offense of interstate transportation
20
   of obscene material was committed by some person;
                       That the defendant associated with the
21
              Second:
   criminal venture;
22
                      That the defendant purposefully participated
23
              Third:
   in the criminal venture; and
                       That the defendant sought by action to make
25
             Fourth:
```

```
that venture successful.
 1
 2
             For you to find the defendant guilty of Count Five,
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
 3
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
 4
              First:
                      That the offense of interstate transportation
 5
   of obscene material was committed by some person;
 6
                       That the defendant associated with the
 7
              Second:
   criminal venture;
 8
 9
              Third:
                     That the defendant purposefully participated
   in the criminal venture; and
10
11
              Fourth:
                       That the defendant sought by action to make
12
   that venture successful.
13
             For you to find the defendant guilty of Count Six,
  you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
   the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
15
                     That the offense of interstate transportation
16
              First:
   of obscene material was committed by some person;
17
18
              Second:
                       That the defendant associated with the
   criminal venture;
19
20
              Third:
                     That the defendant purposefully participated
21
   in the criminal venture; and
                       That the defendant sought by action to make
22
              Fourth:
23
   that venture successful.
             For you to find the defendant guilty of Count Seven,
24
   you must be convinced that the government has proved each of
25
```

```
the following beyond a reasonable doubt:
 1
 2
             First:
                      That the offense of selling or transferring
 3
   obscene material was committed by some person;
                      That the defendant associated with the
             Second:
 4
   criminal venture;
 5
              Third:
                     That the defendant purposefully participated
 6
   in the criminal venture; and
 7
                      That the defendant sought by action to make
 8
             Fourth:
   that venture successful.
 9
              "To associate with the criminal venture" means that
10
11
   the defendant shared the criminal intent of the principal.
12
   This element cannot be established if the defendant had no
   knowledge of the principal's criminal venture.
13
              "Possession," as that term is used in these
14
   instructions, may be one of two kinds: actual possession or
15
   constructive possession.
16
17
             A person who knowingly has direct physical control
   over a thing, at a given time, is in actual possession of it.
19
             A person who, although not in actual possession,
   knowingly has both the power and the intention, at a given
   time, to exercise dominion or control over a thing, either
21
   directly or through another person or persons, is in
22
   constructive possession of it.
23
             Possession may be sole or joint. If one person alone
24
   has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is
25
```

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is joint.

You may find that the element of possession is present if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant had actual or constructive possession, either alone or jointly with others.

The word "knowingly," as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was done voluntarily and intentionally, not because of mistake or accident.

It is reasonable to infer that a person ordinarily intends the natural and probable consequences of his knowing acts. The jury may draw the inference that the accused intended all of the consequences which one standing in like circumstances and possessing like knowledge should reasonably have expected to result from any intentional act or conscious omission. Any such inference drawn is entitled to be considered by the jury in determining whether or not the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant possessed the required criminal intent.

To reach a verdict, whether it is guilty or not guilty, all of you must agree. Your verdict must be unanimous on each count of the indictment. Your deliberations will be secret. You will never have to explain your verdict to anyone.

It is your duty to consult with one another and to

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

deliberate in an effort to reach agreement if you can do so.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors. During your deliberations, do not hesitate to reexamine your own opinions and change your mind if convinced that you were wrong. But do not give up your honest beliefs as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors, or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

Remember at all times, you are the judges of the facts. Your duty is to decide whether the government has proved the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

When you go to the jury room, the first thing you should do is select one of your number as your foreperson, who will help to guide your deliberations and will speak for you here in the courtroom.

A verdict form has been prepared for your convenience. The foreperson will write the unanimous answer of the jury in the space provided for each count of the indictment, either guilty or not guilty. At the conclusion of your deliberations, the foreperson should date and sign the verdict.

We're going to send back one verdict form with you.

Your copies should not have a copy of the verdict form. We'll send that in. It's actually got three pages to it, and it

says:

## VERDICT FORM

Answer "not guilty" or "guilty."

## COUNT ONE

We the Jury find that Defendant **THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR** is -- and there is a blank, underneath it says "Not Guilty" or "Guilty," and the foreperson will write that in, either not guilty or guilty, whichever the vote is unanimous for -- of the offense charged in Count One of the indictment.

That's done for all nine counts.

As to Count Seven you'll notice there is a Special Interrogatory for that count. And it says: If you find the Defendant, THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR, guilty of the crime charged in Count Seven of the Indictment, please list the title of the stories and/or drawings you find obscene in the following blank page.

And the blank page has: Answer to Special Interrogatory for Count Seven.

If you find the defendant not guilty of that count, you'll have nothing. If you find him guilty of Count Seven, you'll list one or more stories or -- and/or drawings you find to be obscene.

At this time I'm going to recognize Mr. Berry, who is going to start us off. And I told you yesterday the government has -- by virtue of having the burden of proof is able to open

```
the closing arguments. He'll also be able to split his time and rebut the defense, but he gets the same amount of time as the defense as well.

Mr. Berry, you may proceed whenever you're ready, sir.
```

MR. BERRY: Thank you, Your Honor.

#### CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. BERRY

MR. BERRY: May it please the Court.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. BERRY: Mr. Bennett, Mr. Haygood, Mr. Arthur.

Ladies and gentlemen, when we started this trial, we told you that we're going to present some evidence and then -- and we've done that. We were able to get that all packed in yesterday. Today my charge is to walk you through the evidence as officially as I can in the allotted time I have to explain to you how the different exhibits and testimony fit in with the nine counts that he's been charged with in this indictment.

What's important to understand is the way the indictment is broken down. So Counts One, Eight, and Nine pertain to drawings. Okay. Those drawings are going to be found in Exhibits 10A, 11A, and 12A. Those are the drawings that you've seen during this trial, and they relate to those three counts.

Counts Two through Six are the story counts, okay?
We have three counts on the drawings, One, Eight, and Nine;

five counts on stories, Counts Two through Sixth.

The exhibits for Counts Two through Sixth specifically are 5A, 6A, 7A, 8A, and 9A. Those are the individual stories that we passed around that you each read yesterday in full.

And then Count Seven is its own thing. And that is what we call the business count charging him with engaging in a business of transporting these types of obscene material drawings and stories. So the business count kind of imports a lot of the other evidence, and we will talk about those exhibits as well.

A really important exhibit to keep in mind is Exhibit 34. We didn't spend any time with it yesterday, but it will be there with you, and that is what's called the Stipulations. Judge Counts was reading the instructions to you. He would read a portion of the instruction and then you would hear him say: The parties have stipulated X, which means that the parties have agreed on certain elements of the offense. So in the instructions when it says the Explanation of Counts, and it says first element, second element, third element, fourth element, and then you'll see right after that the parties have stipulated to certain things.

So I'm going to simplify things for you, and I'm going to explain how that works right now. Many of those elements that the Judge just read do not require a great deal

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

of deliberation by you guys because the parties have stipulated to it. We put on evidence in addition to those stipulations that supports it and confirms that, but there is a lot that you don't have to worry about such as were these things transported in interstate or foreign commerce. You heard testimony about it. Server in the Netherlands. It was on Internet. People all over the world, people all over the country, but the parties have stipulated that this stuff moved in interstate commerce.

So what is the core issues -- what are the core issues that you really have to focus on in your deliberations?

And there are the primary -- the primary issues of dispute come back to Count One. We don't agree whether it's sexually oriented. The Count One is the drawing in 10A. Was that sexually oriented in Count One?

The other thing we don't necessarily agree on is

Counts One, Eight, and Nine, the drawings. Do those depict

minors? A minor is defined in your instruction as the Court

said as someone under the age of 18. It doesn't have to be

someone under the age of 12. It doesn't have to be under the

age of 15 or under the age 8. Under the age of 18, that's a

minor. So when you look at those drawings, you decide for

yourself whether you believe that they are under the age of 18.

And then with regards to all of the counts, there is the question of whether it is, in fact, obscene; and that is

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

the core dispute and question you guys are going to have to wrestle with.

So with regards to Counts Eight and Nine, there is not dispute about whether this is sexually oriented. The parties agreed. The defendant concedes that is sexually oriented. Okay. That's 11A and 12A.

But with regards to Count One, this is a dispute. You guys have to decide is this image sexually oriented? I submit to you that there is plenty of evidence for you to look at that image and determine it is sexually oriented, not the least of which are the words surrounding it, the context which is talking about little girls having sex, ten year old, fifth grade, small titty mounds, things like that.

Well, look at your instructions. And I apologize that the instruction I was working from last night were numbered slightly differently than they are now. So we need to verify. So it does start on 15 but technically you need to be looking at 16 in your instructions for this part of it. And this is what we refer to the factors that you can consider, that are broken out in six factors.

And it says you can determine that this is sexually oriented if you say it is a lascivious exhibition of the genitals. Well, what is a lascivious exhibition of the genitals? What the instructions tell you is to look at a few things to decide whether that is. What is the focal point of

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

the -- what is the focal point of the picture, right? What are we talking about?

So you can see the center of the picture that you could argue that is the focal point, which is, of course, the genitals and the pubic area, okay?

Is it in a sexually suggestive pose? She is laid on her back spread eagle with a finger in her pubic area.

Is it fully nude or partially nude is what it says in the instructions. Here, clearly fully nude.

Does it appear to have a willingness to engage in sexual activity? The spread of the legs is intended to do that.

And also, is it designed to elicit a sexual response in the viewer? That doesn't mean that you want to have sex with her. Is it designed to have a sexual response in the viewer that's intended to be looking at that? And the answer to that is clearly yes.

Is it a minor? There are a few things that you can look at. The defense spent some time on trying to decide whether this was a minor yesterday. But I think Special Agent Ewan testified and said, You have to look at some context clues, right? Where is the drawing found? First of all, it's found on a Web site dedicated to talking about sex with children. So is this likely to be a 20-year-old woman on here? And the answer is no.

```
1
             Also, look at just the size of the child -- of the
 2
   person in there, and then look at the context in which it was
 3
   placed on that web page. Little girls having sex.
   10-year-old, fifth grade. Mere slit between her legs.
                                                            Small
   titty mounds. These are all context clues to tell you that
 5
   this is a child.
 6
 7
             Another context clue that I think is important to
   think about is look at her hand. Not the one in the pubic
 8
 9
   area, the one that nobody is paying attention to up here. That
   child is in distress. She doesn't want to be looked at that
10
   way, and that is what these people want to view. They like to
11
12
   see that in distress.
13
             What about Counts Eight and Nine? Are they minors?
  Inexplicably that's in dispute between the defense and the
14
15
  prosecution in this case.
16
             They spent time talking about breast development and
   pubic hair, pubic area. Well, you can clearly see both of
17
   these have obvious signs of being a child. I don't think
   that's something you're going to have to wrestle hard with.
19
20
   They're clearly minors.
             More dispute issues. So going back to the dispute
21
   issues. So Count One, was it sexually oriented? The girl
22
```

sexually oriented.

Counts One, Eight, and Nine, are they minors?

laying on her back with her finger in her pubic area. Yes,

23

24

25

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

Absolutely. I don't think that you're going to struggle with that.

So then we go to what about all the stories and drawings in terms of, Okay. They're sexually oriented. It's a minor, whatever; but does it fit the standard, the definition of obscene? And obscene is defined in three parts, and you heard Mr. Haygood reference it in his opening statement. We will talk about those factors there as well.

So there's three of them. It is prurient interests, which is an obnoxious legal word that's defined in your instructions that explains what it is. We'll talk about that in just a second. Two is, is it patently offensive? And then, three, does it lack serious -- and then I just have an acronym, serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value, okay?

So let's go through them. Prurient interest is defined in the instruction as an appeal to morbid, degrading, of unhealthy interest in sex. But it's also defined as: Is it intended to appeal to the members of a deviant sexual group as opposed to just the average person in this community?

So the defense spent time talking about we had to agree to come into this Web site and you had to pay for a membership and you could only see so much. Well, all that actually goes toward the idea that this is a group of individuals who was devoted to one thing and one thing only,

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

and that was their interest in sex with children. That is a clearly defined deviant sexual group. And that's what this is intended to appeal to, each of these stories and drawings was aimed at that group, which is why it is submitted to the defendant so that he could post it on his Web site.

So when we talk about those factors, what does it look like to be a member of a deviant sexual group? One of the authors that you saw -- read one of their stories, Mr. Arthur, the defendant himself, e-mailed that author and say, I must say you have a fabulous knack for a compelling story title. I have told authors from time to time asking me how to improve their stories and page views. It's all in the title. Give a reader a choice between reading a story called "Out on the town" and "I love sucking daddy's cock every night," guess which story will get twice the readers?

Yeah, you know already. Great work. This is a group that was designed -- that was aimed at engaged in deviant sexual activity with children. That is a deviant sexual group. That's what all the stuff was geared towards.

Another example of that is he's talking to the authors as a collective, in a group e-mail to the authors saying, Hey, Visa is demanding that I remove certain words like bestiality and preteen and pedo, like pedophile. And if we don't do that, then they're not going to let us run through Visa, and that's going to affect payment, and I'm not going to

be able to pay authors and that sort of thing.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

So he's talking to the authors. He's talking to the deviant sexual group saying big company doesn't like what we're doing because we're a deviant sexual group, but I'm not changing.

Another e-mail from the defendant. He's writing to an individual author and he says to bring -- you see the exhibit stickers. You can go back and see these, the exhibit sticker on the bottom, if that is something that you want to go back and look at, that's there in the corner for you to take a note about.

To bring you up to date on the site's history, we've been kicked off of every service from credit card processors to web hosting providers to search engine giants such as Google.

So he's talking about how all the big companies, once they became familiar with his Web site, they said, We don't want anything to do with that. Why? Because they're a deviant sexual group.

Similarly, Exhibit 29G. My pleasure. Really, this is what I do all day. I'm internally grateful that I work with porn all day and chose not to become a corporate systems analyst in the '90s.

This is, again, an e-mail from the defendant. he's talking about how his poor wife has to put up with him and 25 he chases her around the house with a beer and a hard-on

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

telling her how he's bombarded with porn, porn, and more porn from the second he wakes up until the end of his day. Why?

Because he's exclusively focused on this deviant sexual group that's engaged in talking about sexual abuse of children.

Another example of it. He's writing a specific author and he's really, really impressed with the author's work. And what is it he's impressed with? He says he had to stop formatting the story before he posted it because he just couldn't help himself. He had to read the whole thing, and he just really loved that author's descriptions about little pedo nipples and cum covered preteen. That is evidence of a deviant sexual group. These stories appeal to that type of group.

And then another one where he is talking about how he is writing to an author. He talks about, I tried my hot 14-year-old cousin but gave up after years of trying. Well, I gave up when she became over the hill, 17. That, again, is evidence of him being part of a deviant sexual group that these stories are designed to appeal to.

Then there were the forum chats which you'll find in Exhibit 31 with subletters A through something. This one is 31B. And this is a posting by Mr. Double himself, and the name of the particular topic that day in Mr. Double's taboo teen incest forum was: I saw the hottest little honey today. And he's talking about how he was at the 7-Eleven buying cigarettes, and this 11- to 12-year-old girl came up to talk to

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

him and tells him he should stop smoking, and all he could do was concentrate on her breast/chest area, what he referred to as walnuts. Walnuts, walnuts, walnuts, small taste, little nibble of her nubbins. I swear, just a little taste.

This is Exhibit 31B that you can examine as well.

This is him talking to other people on that group. This is the deviant sexual group that these stories were designed to appeal to.

And then you also see that he says on the post when they ask -- one of the forum topics was, you know, what's your pen name? And why is it -- where does it come from? And he posts himself on there, and he tells you that his pen name was Que, Spanish for what. And then he goes on to explain where that name comes from. That shows that he is an author, which you heard Sandra Arthur testify that he was, in fact, an author a couple of times, and you will see those stories in 35AA and 35BB.

Another example of it being a deviant sexual group is the forum topic: Pedophilia or incest fantasies, would you change if you could? And his answer is: I will never stop fantasizing, reminiscing, or thinking back to the experience that I had in my younger years. And this is on the teen incest forum that he hosts on Mr. Double Web site. That, again, is evidence of a deviant sexual group that these stories are designed to appeal to.

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

So then the next factor on the test, whether it's obscene. So we've done deviant sexual group, which is part of the prurient interest issue. Now we're going to go on to patently offensive. So patently offensive, again, I have it as 16. There is a correction now. I think patently offensive is now on 17, it looks like. Yes, 17.

And that talks about, well, what is it to be patently

And that talks about, well, what is it to be patentl offensive. And it says: The second test is whether the material depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct such as ultimate sexual acts, normal or perverted, actual or stimulated; masturbation; excretory functions; or lewd exhibition of genitals.

Excretory functions, of course, is a really big word for something really unpleasant and disgusting, which is anything to do with bowel movement, urination, what's referred to as water sports or scat in the story codes. And you certainly read stories that had some of that information in there as well.

Patently offensive continuing on, it talks about -yes, 17. ...so exceeds the generally accepted limits of candor
in the entire community...

You, the jury, must decide -- determine the attitude of the community as a whole. Those are quotes from the instructions, and I believe that's on 17 right now.

Now, that takes us to serious value, which is where

```
this really is going to boil down to, is do these stories and
   drawings lack serious literary, artistic, political, and
 2
   scientific value. And the instructions tell you now on
 3
   Page 18, it says -- at the bottom of the first paragraph on 18,
   it says: "It is for you to say whether the material in this
 5
   case has such value." That's what you're here for. You are
 6
   here to decide whether this material has such value.
 7
             In terms of whether it lacks serious value, and I'm
 8
 9
   just going to say "value" as a shorthand, but I'm referring to
   all literary, artistic, political, and scientific value.
10
             THE COURT:
                         Mr. Berry, that's 18 minutes.
11
12
             MR. BERRY:
                         That is 18 minutes.
             THE COURT: Yes, sir.
13
             MR. BERRY:
                         I'm going to go over a little bit into my
14
   rebuttal time.
15
             So what is the serious value? The story codes is a
16
   place to start. You can't search on this Web site for
17
   metaphors, stories with metaphors, similes, or allegories, or
   satire. What can you search for? You can search for adult men
19
   having sex with little girls and toddlers like this, the story
21
  code says.
             And I want you to go look at Exhibit 5A. You've read
22
23
   them all. 5A, 6A, 7A, 8A, 9A, you read them all word for word
  sitting here. But you saw that stuff in there. And I'm going
   to go through this a little bit more quickly. But baby's
25
```

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

clubby little legs. Stretched open cunt. Purple with bruising. What is the value in this type of story? None.

Look at this demonstrative here of this particular story. These are the words that are most prominent in the story other than like "and" and "the" and prepositions. Those are the core of the story. What is the value of the story? It lacks any serious value.

The same thing with Count Three in that story. When you search for that, if you were looking for this type of story, you're searching for an adult with a prepubescent female, dad, daughter, baby, masturbation, water sports, which is excretory functions. You're not searching for metaphor, simile, allegory, anything like that.

And then you see the type of language that's used in there. Baby's cunt, warm semen, diaper, splutter, girl's nostrils, how sweet it tasted, warm urine erupted from her little cunt. What is the value? There is no serious value to any of these stories, ladies and gentlemen. And you've read them all, and I'm not going to belabor this.

Count Five, I rolled Amy's lifeless body. He's talking about the murder of children, and then having sex with them. I drove a nail through her palm. The preschool girl wailed. Torn up dead cunt. Made her eat it. What is the serious literary or artistic value here, ladies and gentlemen? Nothing.

# USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

Covered her head in clear plastic so I could watch her die with my cock in her ass. Little 18-month-old girl, rapes her. Thrusts his cock through the diaphragm. Tiny little heartbeat at 200 beats per minute, and she dies.

Story talking about wringing out the little piece of fuck me to get every drop. What is the serious value here?

None. There is no serious value to any of these.

The Baby Mangler, the same thing. Fuck the life out of the little girl. Dick sloshing through baby intestines.

There is no serious value to any of this.

Same with this drawing. What's the serious artistic value here? None. Same with this drawing. None.

Engaging in the business, that's pretty clearly established that this was a business. There is not a whole lot of dispute about that. There is plenty of exhibits, 29 -- 29J, 29K. The only real element in dispute is again whether the stories or drawings are obscene, and I think that that is especially established as well.

So Count Eight has -- it says Count Eight, but it should actually be Count Seven. So that's a mistake on my part there. So Count Seven is the business count, and it has the special interrogatory that the Judge was just referencing. On all the other counts, you're just going to answer guilty or not guilty. When you get to Count Seven, you're going to get to guilty or not guilty or not guilty. If you say guilty that there was a

```
business they were engaging in, it then asks you: Please go to
  the next page and list the stories or drawings that you find to
 2
 3
   be obscene. There is not a specific number that you have to
   list. The easy way I think to do this would be to say Counts
   One through Six, Eight, and Nine, the stories and drawings in
 5
   those counts, you would list those, say, yes, those are obscene
 6
   and we put those in that category.
 7
             You could also list it by Exhibit 5A, 6A, 7A, 8A, 9A,
 8
 9
   10A, 11A, and 12A. And there is also Exhibit 35, which is a
   bunch of subexhibits, 35A through II, which is a whole 'nother
   set of stories. And that includes two stories by the defendant
11
12
   which are specifically 35AA and 35BB. I would submit to you
   that you list those things on that second page if you find him
13
   guilty of the business count.
14
             Finally, ladies and gentlemen, we ask that you find
15
16
   the defendant quilty on all counts.
17
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Berry.
18
             Mr. Bennett.
                   CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. BENNETT
19
20
             MR. BENNETT:
                           Thank you, Your Honor. I would ask for
21
   a two-minute warning instead of the three-minute warning
   instead.
22
23
             THE COURT: Yes, sir, of course.
             MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
             May it please the Court.
25
```

THE COURT: Yes, sir. 1 2 MR. BENNETT: Opposing counsel, Lane, agents, Mr. Arthur, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. 3 What if? What if these works keep a deviant person 4 from harming a child? What if? Would that be scientific 5 value? If looking at these works and masturbating to these 6 works help keep sick, deviant people from harming children? 7 We didn't put on any evidence because we don't have 8 to, and you'll see that in the jury charge. I'm going to go 9 through some parts of the jury charge with you. But because we didn't put on any evidence, I want to go through -- I want to 11 12 talk about the holes in the evidence, about what the government, which has the burden of proof throughout, and, 13 again, the jury charge says this, that the burden never shifts 14 to the defendant. We don't have the job of proving anything to 15 16 you. We don't have a job of producing any evidence. 17 The government has the job of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that these works are obscene. And my colleague Mr. Berry is correct. What we're here fighting about 19 20 is whether these were obscene. We have the stipulation which is -- which there is 21 something else that was not agreed to in the stipulation. 22 23

stipulation was entered -- was written and entered before the trial began. In light of the evidence at the trial, the Government's Exhibit 10, the sketch, it does reflect sexual

24

25

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

conduct. We're not contesting that. What we're contesting is serious literary, artistic, and scientific value.

So let's look at the jury charge together, please.

And if you follow the law, you'll see that it requires

acquittal. The last thing that I would do is ask that you not

follow the law because I believe that the jury charge here,

which is the law that you have to follow, requires that you

acquit Mr. Arthur.

Starting on Page 1 about three quarters of the way down, it says: It is your sworn duty to follow all of the rules of law as I explain them to you. And sworn duty, that was the oath that you took at the beginning when you were sworn in as jurors. And we know that you're going to follow the oath. So I don't need to spend a lot of time admonishing you that this is the law that you have to follow.

On Page 2, the instructions talk about the presumption of innocence. The defendant begins with a clean slate. The law does not require the defendant to prove his innocence or produce any evidence at all and no inference may be drawn from his election not to testify.

You don't and you cannot and if somebody said, Well, I would have testified if he were if I thought these had value, you can't do that. That's not a consideration that you can consider. The government has the burden. And if it fails to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt, you have to acquit

Mr. Arthur.

Then in the next paragraph, the Judge's instructions talk about the reasonable doubt. A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in making the most important decisions of your own affairs.

I like to use the example of proposing to your partner. You're thinking about marriage, most important of your own affairs. What might make you hesitate in doing that? Well, certainly a doubt about whether your partner actually loved you or a doubt about whether your partner was going to stick with you. Is she going to stick with me? Does she really love me?

And what would make you hesitate in that decision?

Not just the belief that your partner won't stick with you or doesn't really love you, but also a question, right, an open question. If you're thinking about proposing to your girlfriend and you wonder, Well, does she really? Is it real?

You would hesitate, and you would want to answer that question confidently before you proceeded forward in this most important of your own affairs.

That's what we're dealing with here. We are not

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

```
talking about, I can show you that this stuff has serious
 scientific value because I don't have to. All I have to do is
 show you that there is a reasonable doubt about that, that
 reasonable minds might differ. And that reasonable doubt is
       What if viewing these works keeps these deviant people
 from harming children?
           Moving on to Page 7 of the jury charge. Page 7 says
you're here to decide whether the government has proven beyond
 a reasonable doubt -- this is at the bottom -- that the
 defendant is quilty of the crimes charged. He's not on trial
 for any act, conduct, or offense not alleged in the indictment.
           So this is -- the whole jury charge is the
culmination of thousands of years in Western culture from Moses
to the United States Supreme Court, right?
           This is how our society has developed, and the beauty
of it is -- I don't believe that you have any fond feelings
```

This is how our society has developed, and the beauty of it is -- I don't believe that you have any fond feelings toward Thomas Arthur after the evidence in this case. I believe that it would be reasonable, based on what you heard, for you to despise Thomas Arthur as a person, as a human being.

But the beauty of the system is that we give even the deplorables, even the people that we don't care for, we give them all the benefit of the rules. We don't throw anybody away. We look at the instructions and we follow the instructions.

He's not on trial for anything but what he's on trial

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

for. And the way you decide whether he is guilty of those things is you decide whether the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt, that is, with you no longer having any questions that make you hesitate.

All of the questions being answered is to whether the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that he committed these particular crimes.

And each of the counts then beginning on Page 14 talks about that same thing. It says: ...you must be convinced that the government has proved each of the following beyond a reasonable doubt; third, that the visual depiction is obscene. Every count says the same thing. You must be convinced that the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the material is obscene, that the material is obscene, that the material is obscene, that the government has proven it beyond a reasonable doubt, has answered all of your questions.

Mr. Berry is an excellent lawyer. I am outlawyered here. He's going to get up here and he's going to have questions for you. He's going to have arguments for you. I can't get up and answer them just because of the way the system works. He's got the burden of proof. He gets to talk last. I don't get to respond.

And I know -- I know that it is a difficult, painful thing to do, to speak for someone who is despised, but you have

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

to speak for the rules and you have to speak for that rule that they have to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. It's their burden.

So when Mr. Berry has his questions or his arguments and I'm sitting there thinking, oh, my God. I wish I had answered that. I have to ask that you do your best to think of my response to that. What would Mr. Bennett's response to that be? Because I don't get to do it.

Page 16 talks about freedom of expression. It's a constitutional principle that we have the right to express ourselves. It includes the subject of sex but not obscenity, right? So that's what we're dealing with here, just the question of whether these images and stories are obscene, and it's a constitutional question.

The U.S. Constitution created the question, which the Supreme Court told Congress to include in the statute and Congress included it in the statute, and then the Judge included it in the instructions. And that's how it comes to you.

So your job here is to determine whether these works are obscene. And especially whether the government has proven beyond a reasonable doubt, look at Page 3 [sic]. To prove a matter is "obscene," the government must satisfy three tests... The third one is: That the material, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value.

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

Now, elsewhere in the charge it says you have to decide whether it has such value. But the real question is:

Has the government proven -- and it's expressed time after time. Has the government proven beyond a reasonable doubt that it lacks such value?

Then on Page 18, your final decision. And I think the government and I are in agreement on this. But this is where it comes down to a crunch, is what on Page 18 calls your final decision, whether the material as a whole lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value.

Then farther down in that paragraph: An item may have serious value in one or more of these areas even if it portrays sexually oriented content. And then we have the specific offenses. And every time, as I said, the government has to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the stories are obscene.

So that's the jury charge. It's a beautiful thing. It's a beautiful thing because it includes these thousands of years of western tradition; and it's a beautiful thing because those years of tradition require that you consider the case without prejudice, without thinking about, I don't want to think about my community. Those aren't considerations for you. The only thing is this rational common sense determination of whether these works may have serious literary, artistic, or scientific value or political. I don't know what the political

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

value is, but you guys may see something and say, hey, it could have this political value.

But that's the operation that we have to go through because the government has to prove that it lacks it. You have to ask yourself, Well, do we believe beyond a reasonable doubt that the government has proven that it lacks such value? And if it might have such value, then the government has not proven that. If it might in your minds -- after deliberation. I'm not talking about right now. I'm talking about after you go back and talk about it, if it might in your minds have serious scientific value, then a work is not obscene. Because if it might, then the government hasn't proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Beyond a reasonable doubt, a doubt is a hesitation or question -- right? -- in the most serious of your own affairs.

And I would say that this is one of the most serious of your own affairs. I don't think that any of you are going to treat this any less seriously than anything else that's going to happen to you because you have a man's life at stake. A doubt is hesitation. It's uncertainty. It's an unanswered question.

So bearing all that in mind, let me propose some value. First of all, art and literature, who decides whether something has serious artistic or literary value? Is it the critics at *New York Times*? Is it your sixth grade teacher? Is it you? Or is it the author of the work and the consumer of

the work?

Are there things that you might not want to see but that you recognize have serious artistic or literary value? Are there works that are disturbing to you but that you recognize, Okay. That might have serious artistic or literary value. I don't have to read it. I don't have to look at it.

And you folks would never have to read or look at any of this but for this trial, right? Yes, it is a sick, deviant community. Mr. Berry is right about that. I'm not arguing about that. I'm not arguing that the people who like to read these works are not sick. But that's the people -- but artistic value is the communication of feelings and of ideas.

And literary value is the same thing. Somebody makes a painting, and it might teach you something or it might make you feel something. It might even make you feel something bad. It could be scary, and it has artistic value because it conveys that emotion. I can't say that these works lack serious artistic or literary value because they're the product of one person's inner mind being communicated to other people.

Oh, on Count Seven, the question about the business. I think that Special Agent Ewan talked about how the pictures were not the draw of the Web site. I think that you'll -- I think you can exclude from consideration the pictures in Count Seven because those were author pictures. The picture was -- the business about the stories.

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

So going back to the stories, doubt that makes you hesitate is a reasonable doubt. And so maybe artistic or literary value, I don't know. But scientific value, what if these stories stop some of these sick, deviant people from harming children?

So common experience. The jury charge refers to reasonable inferences from common experience. We all have common experience of getting frustrated and blowing off steam. Or we might have kids and they might get hyper and they might get upset and we might send them to walk around the block. We might have a punching bag in the garage because work is really annoying and we go out and work out some frustration on the bag, and it keeps us from being aggressive in the world, in doing things that would be harmful to ourselves and to others.

What if reading and responding to these stories -what if writing, reading, and responding to these stories stops
some sick people from harming children? Would that be
scientific value? It would be scientific value. If the
availability of these stories stop children from being harmed
and, yes, degrading toward children. But the children aren't
reading the stories. And there is no evidence that people are
committing crimes against children in order to produce the
stories, right?

We have a separate category of crime in federal law that's child pornography which actually uses children -- abuses

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

children to create the images and the videos. This is not that. These are stories. I don't know. Maybe some of them are true. Maybe some of the authors were perpetrators in the stories they're describing. Maybe some of the authors were victims in the stories that they're describing. Maybe some of the authors were bystanders in the stories they're describing. Maybe some of the stories didn't even happen. We have no idea. Maybe all of them didn't even happen.

But what if reading them stops some of these sick, deviant people from harming children? Mr. Berry might get up and say, Well, what if they don't? What if they whet people's appetites for actually having sex with children? And I'll take the "what if" as well because my answer is, what if is a reasonable doubt.

If you don't know beyond a reasonable doubt -- and we haven't heard any evidence of this. But if you don't know beyond a reasonable doubt that these stories don't have that beneficial effect of keeping sick people from harming others, then you can't know beyond a reasonable doubt that they lack serious scientific value because that would be serious scientific value.

The doubts here are what the government had to prove beyond a reasonable doubt and failed to do. The government has not proven lack of serious scientific value beyond a reasonable doubt. And I don't get to respond to Mr. Berry. But remember,

an unanswered question is doubt. A reasonable unanswered question is a reasonable doubt. An unanswered question makes you hesitate is a reasonable doubt that has to stop you from convicting Mr. Arthur.

Here, the government has not proven beyond a reasonable doubt that any of these works -- the pictures or the stories -- lack serious scientific value. Please acquit Mr. Arthur. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Berry, you have seven minutes.

MR. BERRY: Seven minutes.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

#### CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. BERRY

MR. BERRY: So reasonable doubt. It doesn't mean you have to prove it beyond all possible doubt. It's also in your instructions, okay? Reason and common sense, I'm all in favor of it. You are jurors in this community. You can use your reason and common sense. When you walk in that courtroom door, you don't check that. You don't check your life experiences, and you don't check your reason and common sense. You should apply that reason and common sense. I encourage you to do so here.

This is not a hyper-technical is there some speculative metaphysical qualities of these stories whereby they might -- how many times did he say "maybe" in a row there

```
for a second?
                  Maybe, maybe, maybe, maybe, maybe.
 1
                                                      What if,
   what if, what if, Wight, might, might. Okay. And
 2
 3
   then he said, What if it might have serious value? How many
   equivocations can you put in a single sentence to establish the
   fact that he doesn't know. All right. And he's trying to say
 5
   that I have to come in and prove to you -- prove to you that
 6
   one of these stories didn't stop a person from raping a kid.
 7
   That's not the burden. That is not the burden at all.
 8
 9
             When you think about scientific value and he says,
   What if some of these stories stopped a guy from harming a
10
11
   child? Well, this is a deviant sexual group who is now getting
12
   sucked into this cycle of hearing other people talk about
   raping kids and it is normalizing that for maybe they were
13
   isolated and they didn't know what to do with that, but now
14
   they have these other people. And they're like, yeah,
15
16
   like-minded. Yeah, I'm all about that.
17
             There is no evidence before you as jurors that these
```

There is no evidence before you as jurors that these stories have any kind of scientific value to prevent a pedophile from raping children.

MR. BENNETT: I am going to object. It's shifting the burden, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the jury will remember that what the lawyers say is not evidence, and you have your instructions.

Mr. Berry.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BERRY: Thank you. How much time do you have,

Judge?

THE COURT: You have about five and a quarter.

MR. BERRY: Okay.

The point is you are only to consider the evidence before you. So to the extent that defense counsel says, What if there is some other evidence out there, the instructions actually tell you to not consider that. You are not to speculate. You are not to pontificate about what other possible evidence there might be out there in the world. You are to consider the evidence before you. And you have everything you need to look at those writings, look at those drawings, and remember the instructions say that you get to decide whether this has any kind of value.

And it's also important to keep in mind that the burden is: Does it lack serious value? Not does it have some metaphysical quality. Does it have some nominal value to the world, okay? Even if there was some nominal value, you get to assess whether it's serious value, right? You could debate over a beer all day long about, Well, there is value in everything. There is value in every conveyance of an idea because it comes from a person's mind. So everything has some value.

But the question is: Does this lack serious value?

What is the serious value about talking about fucking an infant child and using it as a condom that he then shoves up inside of

## USA vs. Arthur - Jury Trial - Vol. 3 - January 21, 2021

the mother's womb? What is the serious value? It lacks that serious value, ladies and gentlemen. There is none. And if there was some nominal value, then that still is not serious value.

But I submit to you that there is no value to any of these stories or drawings, and I ask that you please find the defendant quilty on all counts.

THE COURT: If you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, the foreperson should write the message -- there are some message sheets back there -- and give it to the court security officer. I'll either reply to you in writing or bring you back into court to answer your message.

Bear in mind that you're never to reveal to any person, not even to the court, how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on any count of the indictment, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict.

Ms. Dawdy at this point. If you have items back in the jury room, if you would retire with the jury, collect those items and come out before they begin to deliberate, please. I'll meet you -- I'll ask you to step down here to the end of the hall. I'd like to visit with you. I'm going to take you into the chambers and just visit with you privately for a few minutes. The two of you are released from your oath at this time.

```
1
             You're allowed to take your notebooks now.
                                                          You can
 2
   take or leave whatever you would like to the rest of the jury.
 3
             Let's rise as this jury retires to deliberate.
              (Jury leaves at 10:28 a.m.)
 4
             THE COURT: Let's be seated, please. Outside the
 5
 6
   presence of the jury.
             Mr. Berry, anything you want to take up while we
 7
   wait?
 8
 9
             MR. BERRY:
                         No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT:
                         Mr. Bennett?
10
             MR. BENNETT: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.
11
12
             THE COURT: Very well done. Thank y'all both. We'll
  be in recess. If you go very far, let Cristina know. And
13
  don't go too far, please.
14
              (Recess from 10:29 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.)
15
16
             THE COURT: All right. I understand we have a
   verdict. No display no matter what the verdict may be.
17
18
             Just to make sure, if there is an acquittal, I guess
   we don't have a forfeiture issue, Mr. Esparza.
19
20
             MR. ESPARZA:
                           No.
             THE COURT: If there is a conviction, I plan to
21
   release the jury based upon what the party that told me last
22
   night -- or yesterday afternoon that you're going to go to me.
23
24
             Is that right, Mr. Bennett?
25
             MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                         Okay. And so what we'll do is I'll
             THE COURT:
 2
   dismiss them and then I'll go speak with them privately while
 3
   you-all kind of get your heads together, if you need to. But
   I'll try to take no more than ten minutes or so, ten or 15,
 4
   depending how chatty they are. And I'll come back over here
 5
   and we'll do that so we can get it all wrapped up. Very good.
 6
 7
             All right. Anything, Mr. Berry, you want to take up
   before we --
 8
 9
             MR. BERRY:
                         No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Mr. Bennett?
10
             MR. BENNETT: No, Your Honor.
11
12
             THE COURT: Let's bring the jury in, please.
             Let's rise for the jury.
13
              (Jury enters at 11:22 a.m.)
14
                         Thank you. Please be seated.
15
             THE COURT:
16
             Speaking through your foreperson if the foreperson
   would stand, please. I understand the jury has a verdict; is
17
18
   that correct?
             PRESIDING JUROR: Yes, Your Honor.
19
20
             THE COURT: All right. If you'll hand the envelope
   to the court security officer. Thank you very much. And you
21
   may go ahead and have a seat.
22
             Cristina.
23
             Will the defendant please rise and counsel.
24
                          In Pecos 19-CR-774, United States of
25
             THE CLERK:
```

```
America vs. Thomas Alan Arthur.
 1
 2
                              VERDICT FORM
 3
   COUNT ONE
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
 4
   guilty of the offense charged in Count One of the Indictment.
 5
   COUNT TWO
 6
 7
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
   quilty of the offense charged in Count Two of the Indictment.
 8
   COUNT THREE
 9
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
10
   quilty of the offense charged in Count Three of the Indictment.
11
12
   COUNT FOUR
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
13
   quilty of the offense charged in Count Four of the Indictment.
14
   COUNT FIVE
15
              We the Jury find that Defendant Thomas Alan Arthur is
16
   quilty of the offense charged in Count Five of the Indictment.
17
18
   COUNT SIX
19
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
   guilty of the offense charged in Count Six of the Indictment.
   COUNT SEVEN
21
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
22
   quilty of the offense charged in Count Seven of the Indictment.
23
                 SPECIAL INTERROGATORY FOR COUNT SEVEN
24
              If you find the Defendant, THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR, quilty
25
```

```
of the crime charged in Count Seven of the Indictment, please
 1
 2
   list the title of the stories and/or drawings you find obscene
   in the following blank page.
 3
              Stories
 4
              35AA - Katrina and her daddy by Que?
 5
 6
              35BB - Tracy.
 7
              5A - A spectacle to beat all other.
              6A - Baby Wank.
 8
              7A - Buttfucking a 10-year-old girl.
 9
              8A - Replacing my wife - She had it coming.
10
              9A - The Baby Mangler.
11
12
              Pictures
              10A - Bio picture for Netman169.
13
              11A - Girls Suck.
14
15
              12A -Load the mule.
   COUNT EIGHT
16
17
              We the Jury find that Defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
   guilty of the offense charged in Count Eight of the Indictment.
   COUNT NINE
19
20
              We the Jury find that defendant THOMAS ALAN ARTHUR is
   guilty of the offense charged in Count Nine of the Indictment.
21
              Signed by the foreperson of the jury today's date.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
24
              Mr. Bennett, does the defense wish the Court to poll
   the jury?
25
```

Ann M. Record, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI

```
1
                           I don't believe it necessary, Your
             MR. BENNETT:
 2
   Honor.
             THE COURT:
 3
                         Thank you. You may be seated.
             Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you're discharged
 4
   from your oath. The attorneys -- and I've asked them if it was
 5
   okay to tell you this. They're going to go to me for the
 6
   forfeiture which releases you from that responsibility.
 7
             I will be the first to say thank you for your
 8
 9
   service. Being released from your oath means you can speak
   with anybody you want and you can tell them anything about the
          It also means you don't have to talk to anybody you
11
12
   don't want to or tell them anything. It is completely up to
13
   you.
             I don't know that you'll receive any calls.
14
   Sometimes attorneys will call or an investigator or a
15
   paralegal, somebody might call you following the trial later,
16
   maybe next week or something or later this week, but if they
17
   do, you're welcome to speak with them, of course. I would
   never tell you not to. But you don't have to. And you're
19
   welcome -- if they won't take no for an answer, you're welcome
  to refer them to me, and they likely won't call me.
21
22
             And I will say during a pandemic, I think it's turned
   out -- we've learned if anybody wants to speak with you, it's
23
  better to do it over the phone than by visiting with you
   privately because that's increased risk of exposure. And I say
25
```

```
that only from experience, that we've had that issue.
 1
 2
             So with that, I want to ask you to retire one more
 3
   time to the jury room. I'm going to come back and speak with
   you for just a few minutes privately as long as you want to
   talk to me, but it shouldn't take us very long.
 5
             You're welcome to leave any of your materials here.
 6
 7
  You're welcome to leave them in the jury room as well.
   right. So I thank you for that.
 8
 9
             And with that, we're going to rise one more time as
   you exit, and I'll see you a few minutes. Thank you.
10
11
             (Jury leaves at 11:28 a.m.)
12
             THE COURT: Please be seated. I'll be back shortly.
             (Recess from 11:29 a.m. to 11:56 a.m.)
13
14
             THE COURT: All right. The parties are present, all
   the parties, including Mr. Arthur.
15
             The Court having received a verdict of quilty on all
16
   nine counts in the criminal case, we have a criminal
17
18
   forfeiture, notice of United States of America's Demand for
   Forfeiture to take up.
19
20
             And, Mr. Arthur, you're familiar, of course, with the
   indictment, but the indictment goes on to say in the Demand for
21
   Forfeiture that under obscenity violations and the forfeiture
22
   statutes, it recites the criminal forfeiture statute and
23
  Title 18, United States Code, Section 1467. And it says
  basically that: Notice of Forfeiture includes but is not
25
```

limited to the following properties:

Real property located and situated at 1260 Angel Road, Alpine, Brewster County, Texas 79830, with all buildings, appurtenances, and improvements thereon and any and all surface and subsurface rights, title, and interests, if any, and being more fully described as follows.

And it goes through a description of Section 23,

Block 217, T & St. L -- I guess St. Louis Railway Company,

Brewster County, Texas, to wit, and then it goes through the directions and whatnot being -- and that being 250 acres of land, more or less.

And then it lists some personal properties as well, which includes it looks like: LG tablet; CAT phone; two Motorola ic502 flip phones; paper with passwords and user names written; Sony Vaio computer with a specific number here; hard drive with a specific number; and any number of other hard drives; cameras; SIM cards; cameras; laptop; compact disks; 98 boxes of suspected child erotica; www.mrdouble.com; and any and all other property and/or accessories involved in or used in the commission of the criminal offense.

And there is a long list, of course, and you're familiar with those lists.

The criminal forfeiture statute that's recited states that: A person who is convicted of an offense involving obscene material under this chapter shall forfeit to the United

```
States such person's interest in -
 1
 2
              (1) any obscene material produced, transported,
   mailed, shipped, or received in violation of this chapter;
 3
              (2) any property, real or personal, constituting or
 4
   traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained from such
 5
   offense; and
 6
              (3) any property, real or personal, used or intended
 7
   to be used to commit or to promote the commission of such
 8
   offense.
 9
             Mr. Bennett, is Mr. Arthur familiar with all the
10
   items that have been listed there?
11
12
             MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: I'll go through a more thorough reading,
   if you would rather.
14
15
             MR. BENNETT: No, I've just consulted with Mr. Arthur
   and he nods his head yes, that he is familiar with those.
16
17
             THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
18
             And, Mr. Bennett, I'm sorry, I don't mean to make you
   get up and down.
19
20
             MR. BENNETT:
                            That's okay. I'll just stay up.
             THE COURT: You not only have to get up and down, you
21
  have to take the mask off and put it on every time.
22
             MR. BENNETT: I'll stand up and leave it off.
23
             THE COURT: So let me ask you this: Does the defense
24
   challenge the -- or object to the forfeiture of all the
25
```

```
properties?
 1
 2
             MR. BENNETT: We're not contesting anything in that.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
             Mr. Esparza?
 4
             MR. ESPARZA: Yes, Your Honor, we discussed it and
 5
  have reached the agreement. I will be filing the motion for
 6
   preliminary order and forfeiture first thing next week which
 7
   will then kick off the process to notify third parties and
   such.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. Very well. I suppose once all
10
   that's done, I'll receive an order?
11
12
             MR. ESPARZA: Yes, Your Honor. With that motion,
   there will be a proposed order attached.
13
14
             THE COURT: Okay. And will a motion be signed by
   everybody or what will it look like?
15
             MR. ESPARZA: Normally, it's just signed by the -- by
16
   myself, Your Honor, and it will just say that the nexus was
17
   agreed to at this hearing and it's been found. And we would be
   asking for the authority to do the third paries and --
19
20
             THE COURT: Is there any inquiry the Court needs to
   make of Mr. Arthur today on the record?
21
             MR. ESPARZA: No, Your Honor.
22
23
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Very well. Thank you very much.
24
             Mr. Berry, anything further for the government in
   this trial?
25
```

```
Nothing, Judge. Thank you.
 1
             MR. BERRY:
 2
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
 3
             Ms. Morrison, good to you have back.
 4
             MS. MORRISON: It's good to be back, Judge. Thank
 5
   you.
             THE COURT: Mr. Bennett, anything further from the
 6
   defense?
 7
 8
             MR. BENNETT: Not right now, Judge.
 9
             THE COURT: So what I'm going to tell -- Mr. Haygood,
  how about you?
10
11
             MR. HAYGOOD: I do have one thing, Your Honor.
12
   don't know if the Court wants to do this now or later, perhaps
  at sentencing. There were a number of exhibits that we had
13
  filed our proposed comparable exhibits after the --
14
15
             THE COURT: Oh, yes.
             MR. HAYGOOD: -- final pretrial hearing.
16
                                                        The Court
   did state you wanted me to do an offer of proof on those.
17
   you want me to do that now or...
19
             THE COURT: I just want you to submit under seal what
20
   you want for the record.
             MR. HAYGOOD: Very good, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: And that's, of course, fine as long as
22
23
   it's what we discussed at the hearing so that you can have it
   for appellant purposes.
24
             MR. HAYGOOD: Thank you, Your Honor, I'll do that.
25
```

```
1
                         Sentencing is going to be set for
             THE COURT:
 2
   April 19, 2021, at 8:30 here in Pecos. As you-all know, that
 3
   can move.
             MR. BERRY:
                         I'm not even writing it down.
 4
             (Laughter)
 5
                         That's how much you believe me, right?
 6
             THE COURT:
 7
             (Laughter)
                         So, Mr. Arthur, what's going to happen --
 8
             THE COURT:
 9
   if you aren't aware. You may be -- is I'll refer the case now
   to the U.S. Probation Office. We have the supervisor of the
11
   U.S. Probation Office here in the courtroom. I'll refer your
12
   case to them. They're going to prepare a Presentence
   Investigation Report. It's going to go into a number of
13
   things. You're going to have input into the making of that
14
   report. Mr. Bennett and Mr. Haygood are going to guide you
15
  through that process. And then the -- they will receive a copy
16
   of the report well before your sentencing hearing. They will
17
   file objections if there are objections they're able to file on
  your behalf. They'll share what's in the -- the information
19
20
   that's in the report with you.
             They're not able, due to a local rule, due to my
21
  standing order, to give you a copy of it; but they will share
22
23
   with you what's in there. They can show it to you and all
   that. You just can't keep it. That's for your own protection,
25
   actually, and it doesn't have anything to do necessarily just
```

```
with the subject matter. But it protects inmates typically
 2
   from other inmates looking at that and getting copies of it and
   finding sensitive information or possibly information we didn't
 3
   intend for others to receive. So we have done this for years
   now. Unless there is some exception or justification the
 5
   defense can make me -- and I think in my three years on the
 6
   district bench, I've allowed one exception and probably
 7
   regretted it after that -- doing that.
 8
 9
             But they will file objections if there are.
                                                           The
   government has the opportunity to file objections. We'll come
10
11
  here at sentencing. If there are any objections that are
12
   unresolved -- because a lot of those get resolved prior to the
   sentencing hearing. If any are unresolved, I'll hear argument.
13
   I may hear testimony if somebody wants to call witnesses or
14
   take any exhibits, whatever. And then I'll make the ruling on
15
   any and all objections, whether it be from the government or
16
   the defense.
17
18
             And then once that's done, your attorney will have an
  opportunity to speak on your behalf, but you have the right and
19
   you'll have the opportunity to speak to me before I sentence
   you, okay? Do you understand?
21
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
22
23
             THE COURT: All right.
24
             With that, Mr. Berry, we're done? You're good?
```

Ann M. Record, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI

MR. BERRY: Yes, sir.

25

```
THE COURT: And, Mr. Bennett, you're good?
 1
 2
             MR. BENNETT: Unless my brain over here tells me
 3
   otherwise.
                         Mr. Haygood, you're good?
 4
             THE COURT:
             MR. HAYGOOD: We're good.
 5
             THE COURT: So, Mr. Haygood, if you'll stick around
 6
 7
   and make sure we know -- Cristina knows, I'm admitting whatever
   you need to make the record for the appellate purposes, okay?
 8
 9
             MR. HAYGOOD: Yes, Your Honor. What I'll do is I'll
   just file a brief motion with an introductory to this as proof
10
   under Rule 103. And then the exhibits, I'll do that under
11
12
   seal.
          That way they don't go to anyone and no one can download
   them or anything like that.
13
14
             THE COURT: Sure. Okay.
15
             And I was noticing, Cristina, I think they --
   obviously we have a thumb drive and some things across the
16
   hall.
17
18
             THE CLERK:
                         Yes, Johnny is going to get it.
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Good.
19
20
             THE CLERK:
                          I was waiting for you to come back.
                         And Ms. Martinez is here.
21
             THE COURT:
22
             MS. MARTINEZ:
                             Yes.
23
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you for your hard work.
24
             MR. MILLER: Thank you.
                          Judge, do you want me to take your
25
             MR. BERRY:
```

```
binders?
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Yes.
 3
             That's why I was -- I was going to say, Ms. Martinez,
 4
   before you leave, you need to make sure I don't have any of
   this stuff.
 5
             All right. So, Mr. Arthur, I remand you to the
 6
 7
   custody of the United States Marshals. I'll see you at the
   sentencing, sir. Thank you.
 8
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
              (Proceedings concluded at 12:05 p.m.)
10
11
12
                         CERTIFICATE
13
              I, ANN M. RECORD, Former United States Court
14
    Reporter for the United States District Court in and for the
15
    Western District of Texas, hereby certify that the above and
16
    foregoing contains a true and correct transcript of the
17
18
    proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered cause.
19
              WITNESS MY HAND on this 14th day of September,
20
    2021.
21
22
23
                             /s/Ann M. Record
                        Ann M. Record, RMR, CRR, CMRS, CRI
                        Former United States Court Reporter
24
                        P.O. Box 2357
25
                        Midland, Texas
                                        79702
```